#### 1. Impact on P-12 learning and development (Component 4.1)

Standard 4.1 states that by using multiple measures, the provider documents that program Completers contribute to an expected level of student-learning growth. Multiple measures shall include all available growth measures (including value-added measures, student-growth percentiles, and student learning and development objectives) required by the state for its teachers and available to educator preparation providers, other state-supported P-12 impact measures, and any other measures employed by the provider.

UVa-Wise must ensure teacher candidates have a positive impact on P-12 learning and development. Student growth is the amount of academic gain a student has made between two points in time. In most comparisons, student growth is measured from the first several days of the school year to the end of the school year. Growth is the difference between the beginning and ending score.

In teacher preparation, the measurement of student growth is a complicated process. The challenge for teacher preparation programs is creating valid and reliable growth measures when teacher candidates are limited in the time spent with P-12 students, candidates may not work with the same group of students, and candidates are in the process of learning how to teach.

Considering the multitude of variables, the UVa-Wise TEP has instituted multiple evaluation instruments at key points during the program to document P-12 student growth. The following evidence is collected and represented for CAEP Standard 4.1.

# Evidence for CAEP Standard 4.1 (Impact on P-12 Learning and Development

- 1. UVa-Wise Education Program Checkpoints to Monitor P-12 Student Growth
- 2. Employer Satisfaction Survey
- 3. Summative Evaluation
- 4. Completer Survey
- 5. Lesson Plan Evaluation
- 6. Positive Impact on Student Learning Report

#### 1. UVa-Wise Teacher Education Checkpoint System



The TEP established key times during candidate development where the impact on P-12 leanning and development is measured. The Checkpoint system is a model used to describe specific points in the teacher education program when candidates are evaluated and impact measures are collected. In total, there are six checkpoints in the UVa-Wise TEP model where checkpoints one, two, and three are completed while candidates are enrolled in the College and checkpoints four, five, and six are conducted when candidates have completed the program.

#### 2. Employer Satisfaction Survey

The Employer Satisfaction Survey provides information about UVa-Wise TEP completers' first three years of teaching. The survey is aligned to CAEP standards and was administered in May 2018. The survey utilizes a 4-point rating scale of accomplished (4), target (3), emerging (2), and ineffective (1). The survey response rate was 33% (37 returned from an initial mailing of 73) for all three years.

Table 3.1.2 2018 Employer Satisfaction Survey for 2015-2017

					201	L8 E	mp	loy	er S	atisfa	cti	on :	Surv	ey	for 2	201	5-2	017	(CA	EP 1	.2)										
Accomplished = 4			20	15 (En	d of 3	rd yea	r teacl	ning)					20	16 (En	d of 2r	ıd year	teach	ing)					2	017 (E	End of :	1st ye	ar tea	ching)			3 Year
Target = 3			Total c										Total c	omplet	ers 42	, 13 re	turned	surve	ys				Total	comp	leters 2	28, 9 r	eturne	ed surv	/eys		Averages
Emerging = 2	PK-6	Н&РЕ	MUS	SPED	BUS	ENG	MTH	HIS	BIO			PK-6	H&PE	MUS	SPED	ENG	MTH	HIS			PK-6	H&PE	MUS	SPA	SPED	BUS	ENG	HIS	MTH		
Ineffective = 1	at y z y z z z z domptetery z															2	3	3	Comp	leter	13	6	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	Complete	r
Survey Question	Survey Question 9 3 2 1 #RETURNED															1	1	1	# RET	URNED	4	2			1		1		1	# RETURN	ED
Evidence of Growth	ce of Growth																														
6. Reflection of Professional Growth	3.4	3.7								3.6		3.2							3.4	1	3.1									3.3	3.5
7. Impact on Student Learning	3.4	3.7								3.5		3.4							3.6		3.2									3.3	3.5
Performance Standards																															
16. P-12 Student Growth and Achievement	3.3	3.0								3.2		3.5							3.5		3.2									3.3	3.4
								111 (	compl	leters, 73	locat	ed, 7	3 surv	eys se	nt, 37	retur	ned s	irveys	;												
Ei	ndors	emen	t Area	s with	h 1 or	2 retu	ırned	surve	ys are	e calculat	ed in	the a	verag	es. Th	e actu	ıal val	ues ai	re hido	den fo	r priva	cy and	stud	ent id	entifi	cation						

Question number six asked employers to evaluate the ability of completers to reflect on professional growth. Employers rated question number six at 3.6 for completers finishing their third year of teaching, 3.4 for completers finishing their second year of teaching, and 3.3 for completers finishing their first year of teaching. The ratings indicate that employers found completers to be between the target and accomplished levels.

Question number seven asked employers to evaluate the impact completers have on P-12 student learning. Employers rated question number seven at 3.5 for completers finishing their third year of teaching, 3.6 for completers finishing their second year of teaching, and 3.3 for completers finishing their first year of teaching. The ratings indicate that employers found completers to be between the target and accomplished levels.

Question number sixteen asked employers to evaluate the impact completers have on P-12 student growth and achievement. Employers rated question number sixteen at 3.2 for completers finishing their third year of teaching, 3.5 for completers finishing their second year of teaching, and 3.3 for completers finishing their first year of teaching. The ratings indicate that employers found completers to be between the target and accomplished levels.

#### 3. Summative Evaluation

The Summative Evaluation provides information about UVa-Wise TEP candidates at three checkpoints during the education program. The revised evaluation instrument was implemented during the fall 2018 semester at the noted checkpoints. The Summative Evaluation is completed by the college supervisor and the mentor teacher in P-12 schools (mentors will begin in the spring 2019). The tables below reflect the score from the college supervisor. The evaluation is aligned to CAEP standards and was administered during the fall 2018 semester. The survey utilizes a 4-point rating scale of accomplished (4), target (3), emerging (2), and ineffective (1). Since the evaluation is given at multiple checkpoints, the following three tables represent evaluation results from each checkpoint.

					20	18	Sυ	ımı	mat	tive	e E\	/alı	ıat	ion	ı Fa	III 2	251	10 (	Che	eck	poi	nt	1														
Accomplished = 4 Target = 3																EE	)U	251	0 F	18 (	36	Stu	den	ts)													
Emerging = 2 Ineffective = 1																		(	Che	ckp	oint	1															
Student ID Number																																					
Students	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	Averages
Professional Competency																																					
4. Assessment Practices	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.6
Growth																																					
8. Reflection of Professional Growth	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1.5
9. Impact on Student Learning	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.2
10. Use of Research to Inform Pedagogy	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.3

									20	18 9	umn	nativ	e Eva	luat	ion F	all 3	690 (	Chec	kpoi	nt 2															
Accomplished = 4																																			
Target = 3		EDU 2510 F18 (34 Students)																																	
Emerging = 2	-	Checkgoint 1																																	
Ineffective = 1		Checkpoint 1																																	
Student ID Number																																			
Students	1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 A															Averages																		
Professional Competency																																			
4. Assessment Practices	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2.5
Growth																																			
8. Reflection of Professional Growth	1	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	з	3	з	3	з	2	2	3	2.5
9. Impact on Student Learning	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	В	3	В	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2.5
10. Use of Research to Inform Pedagogy	2	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	2.5

2018 Summative	Eva	luati	on Fa	all In	terns	Che	ckpc	int 3	}		
Accomplished = 4  Target = 3  Emorring = 2				Inte	ernshi	ips F1	8 (10	Stude	ents)		
Emerging = 2 Ineffective = 1					(	Check	point	3			
Student ID Number											
Students	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Averages
Professional Competency											
4. Assessment Practices	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Growth											
8. Reflection of Professional Growth	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
9. Impact on Student Learning	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
10. Use of Research to Inform Pedagogy	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.2

#### 4. Completer Survey

The Completer Survey provides information about UVa-Wise TEP completers' first three years of teaching. The survey is aligned to CAEP standards and was administered in May 2018. The survey utilizes a 4-point rating scale of accomplished (4), target (3), emerging (2), and ineffective (1). The survey response rate was 42% (47 returned from an initial mailing of 73) for all three years. Results of the survey are shown in Table below.

									20	18 Cor	nple	ete	r Sı	urv	ey	201	15-	20:	17												
Accomplished = 4				2015	(End c	of 3rd y	ear te	achin	g)					2016	(End of	2nd y	ear tea	aching	;)					2017	(End o	f 1st y	ear te	achin	g)		3 Year
Target = 3			Tot	al com	pleter	s 41, 1	9 retu	med s	urvey	S			Tota	I com	pleters	42, 16	retur	ned su	ırveys				Tota	l com	pleter:	s 28, 1	2 retur	ned s	urvey	'S	Averages
Emerging = 2	Emerging = 2 PK-6   H&PE MUS   SPED BUS   ENG   MTH   HIS   BIO   PH															ENG	МТН	HIS			PK-6	н&ре	MUS	SPA	SPED	BUS	ENG	HIS	мтн		
Inerrective = 1	18 9 2 5 2 1 2 1 Completers															2	3	3	Completer		13	6	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	Completer	
Survey Question	18   9   2   5   2   1   2   1   1   Completers															1	1	1	# RETURNED		7	2			1		1		1	# RETURNED	
Evidence of Growth	Survey Question         9         3         1         2         1         1         1         # RETURNED																														
6. Reflection of Professional Growth	3.6	3.7								3.4		3.6							3.4		3.3									3.2	3.4
7. Impact on Student Learning	3.5	3.7								3.4		3.6							3.4		3.3									3.3	3.4
Performance Standards																															
16. P-12 Student Growth and Achievement	3.1	3.3								3.2		3.4							3.3		3.3									3.1	3.2
									111	completers,	73 loca	ated,	73 sur	veys	sent, 4	7 retu	ırned	surv	eys											•	
	En	dorse	ment	t Area	s with	1 or	2 retu	ırned	surv	eys are calcu	lated in	n the	avera	ges.	The ac	tual v	alues	are h	idden for pri	vacy	and s	uden	ıt idei	ntifica	ation.						

Question number six asked completers to evaluate their ability to reflect on professional growth. Completers who were finishing their third year of teaching rated themselves at 3.4, completers finishing their second year of teaching also rated themselves at 3.4, and completers finishing their first year of teaching rated themselves at 3.2. The ratings indicate that completers found themselves to be between the target and accomplished levels.

Question number seven asked completers to evaluate their impact on P-12 student learning. Completers who were finishing their third year of teaching rated themselves at 3.4, completers finishing their second year of teaching also rated themselves at 3.4, and completers finishing their first year of teaching rated themselves at 3.3. The ratings indicate that completers found themselves to be between the target and accomplished levels.

Question number sixteen asked completers to evaluate the impact completers have on P-12 student growth and achievement. Completers who were finishing their third year of teaching rated themselves at 3.2, completers finishing their second year of teaching rated themselves at

3.3, and completers finishing their first year of teaching rated themselves at 3.1. The ratings indicate that completers found themselves to be between the target and accomplished levels.

#### 5. Lesson Plan Evaluation

The Lesson Plan Evaluation provides information about UVa-Wise TEP candidates at three checkpoints during the education program. The revised evaluation instrument was implemented during the fall 2018 semester at the noted checkpoints. The Lesson Plan Evaluation is completed by the college supervisor and the mentor teacher (mentors will begin use in spring 2019). The tables below reflect the score from the college supervisor. The survey utilizes a 4-point rating scale of accomplished (4), target (3), emerging (2), and ineffective (1). The evaluation is scheduled to be given at three checkpoints; the following tables represent evaluation results from checkpoints 1 and 3. The instrument will be collected from checkpoint 2 starting in the spring of 2019.

Table 3.1.7 2018 Lesson Plan Evaluation Fall 2510 Checkpoint 1

								20	18 I	Less	on	Pla	n Ev	alu	atic	n F	all 2	251	0 Cł	nec	kpo	nt :	ī														
Accomplished = 4																																					
Target = 3																	EDU	251	0 F1	8 (3	6 Sti	ıder	ıts)														
Emerging = 2																																					
Ineffective = 1																		-	Chec	kpoi	int 1																
Student ID Number	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	Averages
Students	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	Averages
Measurement of P-12 Student     Baseline Knowledge and Skills	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1.3
3. Assessments	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.8

Question number two asked evaluators to rate candidates' ability to measure P-12 students' baseline knowledge and skills. The rating for question two was 1.5 for candidates at checkpoint 1. The ratings indicate that college supervisor found candidates to be between the ineffective and emerging.

Question number three asked college supervisors to evaluate the ability of candidates to plan assessments that measure student performance. The average rating for question number three was 1.8 for candidates at checkpoint 1. The ratings indicate that college supervisors found candidates to be between the ineffective and emerging levels.

Table 3.1.8 2018 Lesson Plan Evaluation Fall Interns Checkpoint 3

2018 Lesso	n Pla	n Eval	uatio	n Fall	Inter	ns Che	eckpo	int 3			
Accomplished = 4  Target = 3  Emerging = 2				Int	ernshi:	ip F18	(10 Stu	ıdents	)		
Ineffective = 1					(	Checkp	oint 3				
Student ID Number											Avoragos
Students	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Averages
2. Measurement of P-12 Student Baseline Knowledge and Skills	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.6
3. Assessments	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.1

Question number two asked evaluators to rate candidates' ability to measure P-12 students' baseline knowledge and skills. The rating for question two was 3.6 for candidates at checkpoint 3. The ratings indicate that college supervisors found candidates to be between the target and accomplished levels.

Question number three asked college supervisors to evaluate the ability of candidates to plan assessments that measure student performance. The rating for question number three was 3.1 for candidates at checkpoint 3. The ratings indicate that college supervisors found candidates to be between the target and accomplished levels.

#### 6. Positive Impact on Student Learning Reports

Teacher candidates enrolled in the internship and EDU 4090 are required to complete Positive Impact on Student Learning Reports. Impact reports are part of checkpoint 3.

Interns provide documentation and evidence of P-12 student learning. This data/evidence consists of either quantitative or qualitative measures of student achievement, including both preand post-instruction assessments. Pre- and post-assessment measures can include actual pretests or qualitative evaluations of prior knowledge and learning, including KWLs, anticipation guides, and other similar strategies. The report is designed to teach interns how to develop methods for evaluating student progress, analyzing data, measuring student growth, and formulating instructional decisions based on data.

#### Candidates are required to:

- Implement an assessment of a small group or a class
- Describe the grade, subject, and child/student
- Coordinate with mentor teacher for topic/activity
- Conduct research to develop baseline knowledge, key elements, vocabulary, etc.
- Clearly list learning objectives (be sure to note College and Career Ready Standards)
- Report on instructional approach
- Assure lesson plans address all learners
- Apply evidence-based practice in teaching
- Administer pre-test and post-test
- Differentiate instruction/assessment/other
- Conduct analysis of data (e.g. item analysis, data collection over time, pre- and post-test comparison, display data in table or graph)
- Report P-12 student performance using a comparison model to show pre-test score, post-test score, and the difference between the two scores.
- Interpret results and write a reflection
- Using the data-based decisions, remediation plans (if needed)
- Cite research evidence that informed decisions made in this project

An example Positive Impact on Student Learning is reflected below.

Intern Teaching: Evidence of Pos	Semester and Year:
School: Norton Elementary Middle School	District: Norton City Schools
Subject/Course: Social Studies	Grade Level: 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade

#### Standard of Learning Addressed:

USII.4 The student will demonstrate knowledge of how life changed after the Civil War by

e.) Describing the impact of the Progressive Movement on child labor, working conditions, the rise of organized labor, women's suffrage, and the temperance movement.

#### Objective(s) for daily/weekly lesson(s):

The student will:

- Elaborate how the Progressive Movement impacted child labor.
- Describe the working conditions during the Progressive Movement.
- Explain the growth of organized labor during the Progressive Movement.
- Paraphrase how the Progressive Movement impacted women's suffrage.
- Summarize the impact of the Progressive Movement on the temperance movement.

#### Method(s) of Assessing Student Gains in Achievement:

The students will be given a pretest at the beginning of the week to assess prior knowledge of the Progressive Movement and its impact on child labor, working conditions, the rise of organized labor, women's suffrage, and the temperance movement. Throughout the week, the students complete graphic organizers such as a flow chart and two Venn diagrams to organize the material being learned. The class will also use a hands-on activity with the use of a "real" umbrella. The "real" umbrella will symbolize the Progressive Era and there will be tags hanging from the umbrella to represent the problems faced during this era. This visual will be addressed throughout the week to reinforce the challenges faced during the Progressive Era and the changes the people wanted to change during this time. To provide the students with a real-life experience, we will have a mock election for the SGA officers of the school and relate to suffrage. Along with these activities, videos will be incorporated into the lessons to provide the students with actual documentaries of key events of the era. The posttest will be the same as the pretest.

#### Documentation of Student Learning:

The students were given a pretest at the beginning of the week to assess prior knowledge. The summative assessment was a complied test of true/false, multiple choice, fill in the blank, matching, and short answer questions. Then, at the end of the week, the students were given the same assessment as a posttest. The following is the pretest and posttest averages:

Student:	Pretest:	Posttest:	% Gains:
Block 1:	20%	89%	69% Increase
Block 2:	19%	86%	67% Increase
Block 3:	18%	89%	71% Increase

The chart describes the students' performance and gains in the knowledge of the Progressive Era. The posttest scores reflected passing scores and gains in achievement.

#### 2. Indicators of Teaching Effectiveness (Component 4.2)

Standard 4.2 states that by using multiple measures, the provider demonstrates, through structured and validated observation instruments and student surveys, that Completers effectively apply the professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions that the preparation experiences were designed to achieve.

The UVa-Wise TEP demonstrates Completer effectiveness at multiple checkpoints and through multiple instruments. As reflected in the table below, data is collected using multiple instruments during the first three years of completing the preparation program. According to the results provided by employers, Completers, and student data, UVa-Wise Completers are effective educators.

# Evidence for CAEP Standard 4.2 (Indicators of Teaching Effectiveness)

- 1. UVa-Wise Education Program
  Checkpoints to Monitor P-12 Student
  Growth
- 2. Employer Satisfaction Survey
- 3. Completer Survey
- 4. Positive Impact on Student Learning Report

#### 1. UVa-Wise Teacher Education Checkpoint System



The Checkpoint system is a model used to describe specific points when candidates/completers are evaluated and teacher effectiveness data is collected. In total, there are six checkpoints in the UVa-Wise TEP model where checkpoints one, two, and three are completed while candidates are enrolled in the College and checkpoints four, five, and six are conducted when candidates have completed the program.

#### 2. Employer Satisfaction Survey

The Employer Satisfaction Survey provides information about UVa-Wise TEP completers' first three years of teaching. The survey is aligned to CAEP standards and was administered in May 2018. The survey utilizes a 4-point rating scale of accomplished (4), target (3), emerging (2), and ineffective (1). The survey response rate was 33% (37 returned from an initial mailing of 73) for all three years. Results of the survey are shown in the table below.

							340	F			C - 41	- 6					24	24.5	2047											
						20	)T8	ĿШ	рю	yer:	Satis	stac	tior	1 Su	rve	у то	r Zu	112	-2017											
Accomplished = 4																														
Target = 3			20	15 (Er	nd of 3	rd yea	r teach	ning)					201	L6 (End	of 2nd	d year	teachi	ng)				- 2	2017 (1	End of	1st yea	ar teac	hing)			3 Year
Emerging = 2																														Averages
Ineffective = 1			Total o	omple	eters 4	1, 15 re	turne	d surv	eys			T	otal co	mplet	ers 42,	13 ret	urned	surve	ys			Total	comp	leters	28, 9 r	eturne	d surv	/eys		
	PK-6	н&р	E MUS	SPEC	RUS	FNG	мтн	HIS	віо			PK-6	н&ре	MUS	SPED	FNG	мтн	HIS		PK-6	н&рг	MUS	SPΔ	SPED	RUS	FNG	HIS	мтн		
																											- 1			
	18	9	2	5	2	1	2	1		Comple		20	1	3	10	2	3		Completer	13	6	1	1	2	1	1	1		Complete	
Survey Question	9	3	-	2	-	1				# RETU	RNED	8			2	1	1	1	# RETURNED	4	2			1		1		1	# RETURN	ED
Professional Competency		H																												
1. Content Knowledge	3.3				-					3.3		3.4							3.5	3.1									3.4	3.4
2. Knowledge of Learner and Learning	3.3			-	+	-	_		-	3.3		3.2							3.4	3.2	-				-	-			3.4	3.4
3. Planning & Instructional Practices	3.2	3.3	-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_			3.2		3.2							3.4	3.2					ļ	_			3.4	3.3
4. Professional Collaborative Activities &	3.0	3.3					1		l	3.2		3.0							3.2	3.0						1			3.2	3.2
Co-Teaching	tching ching ching a ching chi																													
	3.0	3.3								3.1		2.9							3.2	2.9									3.2	3.2
Students		0.0																												
Evidence of Growth																														
6. Reflection of Professional Growth	3.4									3.6		3.2							3.4	3.1									3.3	3.5
7. Impact on Student Learning	3.4	3.7								3.5		3.4							3.6	3.2									3.3	3.5
Application of Content																														
8. Knowledge of Academic Standards																													3.3	3.5
<ol><li>Application of Content and Pedagogy</li></ol>	3.1	3.3								3.2		3.4							3.6	3.1									3.4	3.4
College & Career Ready Standards																														
10. Skill & Commitment	3.3	3.7								3.3		3.1							3.3	3.0									3.2	3.3
11. Student Access	Academic Standards															3.2	3.3													
Use of Technology																														
12. Student Engagement & Learning	3.3	4.0								3.4		3.2							3.3	3.3									3.5	3.4
13. Enrichment of Professional Practice	3.1	4.0								3.3		3.3							3.3	3.1									3.4	3.3
Performance Standards																														
14.Performance Standard 4: Assessment																														
of and for Student Learning	3.5	3.7					1			3.5		3.4							3.5	3.4									3.5	3.5
15. Performance Standard 5: Learning																														1
Environment	3.5	3.7					1			3.5		3.5							3.5	3.5									3.4	3.5
Performance Standards																														
16. P-12 Student Growth and Achievement	3.3	3.0								3.2		3.5							3.5	3.2									3.3	3.4
17. Comparison of overall preparedness to																														
other institutions (new for 2019)	1	1					1		l	********									#####	ı	l					1			#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	•		•		•			111 c	omple	eters, 7	3 locat	ted, 7	3 surv	eys se	nt, 37	retur	ned si	urveys	s	•	•				•				•	
End	orsen	nent	Areas	with	1 or 2	retur	ned s	urvev	s are	calcula	ted in	the a	verage	s. Th	e actu	ıal val	ues ai	e hid	den for priv	acv a	nd stu	ıdent	ident	ificati	on.					

#### 3. Completer Survey

The Completer Survey provides information about UVa-Wise TEP completers' first three years of teaching. The survey is aligned to CAEP standards and was administered in May 2018. The survey utilizes a 4-point rating scale of accomplished (4), target (3), emerging (2), and ineffective (1). The survey response rate was 42% (47 returned from an initial mailing of 73) for all three years. Results of the survey are shown in Table below.

									20	18 Con	nple	ete	r Sı	urv	ey:	201	15-2	201	L <b>7</b>												
				2015	(End o	f 3rd y	ear te								End of									2017 (E	End of	f 1st ye	ar te	aching	g)		3 Year
Accomplished = 4 Target = 3			Tota	al com	pleter:	s 41, 1	9 retur	ned si	urveys	S			Tota	l comp	oleters	42, 16	returr	ned su	irveys				Total	compl	leters	28, 12	retu	rned s	urvey	S	Averages
Emerging = 2	DV-6	LIS.DE	NAL IS	SPED	DLIC	ENIG	MTH	HIS	вю			PK-6	HS.DE	MILIC	SPED	ENG	MTH	HIS			V-6 H	2.DE	AI IC	SDA	SDED	BUS	ENG	HIS	MTH		
Ineffective = 1												-															-				
	18	9	2		2	1	2	1	1	Completers		20	1	3	10	2	3	3	Completer		13	_	1	1	2	1	1	1	_	Completer	
Survey Question	9	3	1	2	1	1		1	1	# RETURNED	-	11			2	1	1	1	# RETURNED		7	2	_		1		1		1	# RETURNED	
Professional Competency																				ш											
Content Knowledge	3.8									3.8		3.6							3.5		1.3									3.1	3.5
<ol><li>Knowledge of Learner and Learning</li></ol>	3.4	3.7								3.5		3.1							3.1		0.8									3.0	3.3
2. Planning & Instructional Practices	3.6	3.7								3.6		3.5							3.5	3	3.0									3.0	3.4
<ol> <li>Professional Collaborative Activities &amp; Co-Teaching</li> </ol>	3.2	3.7								3.2		3.3							3.4	3	1.0									2.9	3.2
<ol> <li>Interactions/Collaborations for Diverse Students</li> </ol>	3.1	3.7								3.2		3.3							3.3		2.8									2.9	3.1
Evidence of Growth																															
6. Reflection of Professional Growth	3.6	3.7								3.4		3.6							3.4		1.3									3.2	3.4
7. Impact on Student Learning	3.5	3.7								3.4		3.6							3.4	3	1.3									3.3	3.4
Application of Content																															
8. Knowledge of Academic Standards	3.6	3.3								3.5		3.7							3.5	3	1.8									3.4	3.5
Application of Content and Pedagogy	3.6	3.3								3.5		3.7							3.5	3	1.3									3.2	3.4
College & Career Ready Standards																															
10. Skill & Commitment	3.1	3.7								3.1		3.3							3.1	3	1.3									2.9	3.1
11. Student Access	3.3	3.7								3.1		3.1							3.0	3	1.3									3.1	3.1
Use of Technology																															
12. Student Engagement & Learning	3.7	4.0								3.5		3.4							3.4	3	1.8									3.5	3.5
13. Enrichment of Professional Practice	3.6	4.0								3.5		3.6							3.5		1.5									3.3	3.4
Performance Standards																															
14. Performance Standard 4: Assessment of and for Student Learning	3.6	3.7								3.5		3.7							3.4	3	1.5									3.1	3.4
15. Performance Standard 5: Learning Environment	3.6	3.7								3.4		3.7							3.5		1.5									3.3	3.4
16. P-12 Student Growth and Achievement	3.1	3.3								3.2		3.4							3.3	-	1.3									3.1	3.2
17. Reflection on overall preparedness (new for 2019)										#VALUE!									#VALUE!											#VALUE!	#VALUE!
				•					111	completers,	73 loca	ted,	73 sur	veys s	ent, 4	7 retu	rned	surve	eys												
	Enc	dorse	ment	Area	with	1 or 3	2 retu	rned	surve	vs are calcul	ated in	the	overas	ges. T	he act	ual v	alues	are hi	idden for priva	v and	stu	dent	ident	tificat	ion.						

#### 4. Positive Impact on Student Learning Reports

Completers provide documentation and evidence of teacher effectiveness through multiple sources. The most consistent method of collecting information about P-12 students is through the Completer survey. The survey allows program Completers to quickly select a the level of effectiveness they offer to P12 learners. In some instances, the EPP is able to collect additional data on teacher effectiveness. The table below reflects a sample of student scores on benchmark tests given throughout the year by our Completers. We will continue to work with school districts, Completers, and the VDOE to identify new and reliable methods of collecting data.

UVa-Wise Co	mpleter Impa	act on	Student Lea	rning in Years 1, 2, 3 (Data pr	ovided by W	ise County	Public Scho	ols through	Benchmark
Year Completed	Data Year	Yr Exp.	Completer	Employer	Endorsem ent Area(s)	Assignme nt Grade	Benchmar k Test1	Benchmar k Test 2	Benchmark Test 3
2016	2017-2018	2	JC	Wise County Public Schools	Algebra I				
	2018-2019	3				9			50%
2017	2017-2018	1	DM	Wise County Public Schools	PK-6 SCI	7	80	68.25	79.3
	2018-2019	2				6	76	64	77
2017	2017-2018	1	KR	Wise County Public Schools	Science	67	100	96	96
	2018-2019	2					100	75	91
2017	2017-2018	1	KH	Wise County Public Schools	PK-6 Eng	6	92	84	87
	2018-2019	2					94	88	90
2017	2017-2018	1	КО	Wise County Public Schools	PK-6 MTH	2	75	91	100
	2018-2019	2					84	67	100
2018	2018-2019	1	DB	Wise County Public Schools	English	7	79	69	71
2018	2018-2019	1	KC	Wise County Public Schools	PK-6 MTH	6	39	73	65
2018	2018-2019	1	JS	Wise County Public Schools	PK-6 SCI	11	not given	not given	71
2018	2018-2019	1	RT	Wise County Public Schools	History	10	not given	not given	44
2010	2010 2015				D1/ C 1 45::		100		100
2018	2018-2019	1	KA	Wise County Public Schools	PK-6 MTH	8	100	not given	100

# 3. Satisfaction of employers and employment milestones (Component 4.3 | A.4.1)

The provider demonstrates, using measures that result in valid and reliable data and including employment milestones such as promotion and retention, that employers are satisfied with the Completers' preparation for their assigned responsibilities in working with P-12 students

UVa-Wise must ensure that employers are satisfied with Completers' preparation. The Employer Satisfaction Survey assumes that program quality and completer effectiveness can be measured by employers' (building administrators) observations and completer performance reviews. The survey seeks to partially evaluate the program based on responses to a series of questions. The survey obtains employers' opinions as they respond to questions by selecting from a rating (Accomplished, Target, Emerging, Ineffective) scale. Data is collected with the survey for program completers for the first three years of their employment.

As experienced educators, administrators, and school leaders, building principals are in the position to provide objective, valid, and reliable evaluations of beginning teachers. Principals are familiar with instructional practices, content knowledge, teacher growth, college and career ready standards, use of technology, and P-12 student growth.

The Employer Satisfaction Survey is sent directly to the school administrator for all completers whose employment can be identified. Historically, employer surveys have relied on school administrators to identify UVa-Wise Completers and their years of experience. We are hopeful the employment location of completers provided by the VDOE will strengthen the survey process by individualizing the surveys as they are sent to administrators. Administrators receive a survey identifying the candidate's name and number of years of experience.

						2	018	Em	plo	yer	Sati	sfac	ctio	ո Su	rve	y fo	r 20	015	-201	7											
Accomplished = 4																_															
Target = 3			20	015 (Er	nd of 3	rd yea	r teach	ing)					20	16 (End	of 2nd	d year 1	teachi	ng)						2017 (	End of	1st ye	ar teac	hing)			3 Year
Emerging = 2																															Average
Ineffective = 1		Т	otal o	omple	eters 4	1, 15 n	eturne	d surv	eys				Total co	mplet	ers 42,	13 retu	ırned	surve	/S				Total	comp	oleters	28, 9 r	eturne	d surv	eys		
	PK-6	н&ре	MUS	SPEC	BUS	ENG	МТН	HIS	вю			PK-6	н&ре	MUS	SPED	ENG	MTH	HIS			PK-6	н&ре	MUS	SPA	SPED	BUS	ENG	HIS	MTH		
	18	9	2	5	2	1	2	1	1	Comple	eters	20	1	3	10	2	3	3	Comple	eter	13	6	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	Complete	r
Survey Question	9	3		2		1				# RETU	RNED	8			2	1	1	1	# RETUI	RNED	4	2			1		1		1	# RETURN	ED
rofessional Competency																															
1. Content Knowledge	3.3	3.3								3.3		3.4							3.5		3.1									3.4	3.4
2. Knowledge of Learner and Learning	3.3	3.3								3.3		3.2							3.4		3.2									3.4	3.4
3. Planning & Instructional Practices	3.2	3.3								3.2		3.2							3.4		3.2									3.4	3.3
Professional Collaborative Activities & Co-Teaching	3.0	3.3								3.2		3.0							3.2		3.0									3.2	3.2
5. Interactions/Collaborations for Diverse Students	3.0	3.3								3.1		2.9							3.2		2.9									3.2	3.2
vidence of Growth																															
6. Reflection of Professional Growth	3.4	3.7								3.6		3.2							3.4		3.1									3.3	3.5
7. Impact on Student Learning	3.4	3.7								3.5		3.4							3.6		3.2									3.3	3.5
Application of Content																															
8. Knowledge of Academic Standards	3.4	3.3								3.4		3.6							3.7		3.0									3.3	3.5
9. Application of Content and Pedagogy	3.1	3.3								3.2		3.4							3.6		3.1									3.4	3.4
College & Career Ready Standards																															
10. Skill & Commitment	3.3	3.7								3.3		3.1							3.3		3.0									3.2	3.3
11. Student Access	3.2	3.7								3.3		3.1							3.3		3.0									3.2	3.3
Jse of Technology																															
12. Student Engagement & Learning	3.3	4.0								3.4		3.2							3.3		3.3									3.5	3.4
13. Enrichment of Professional Practice	3.1	4.0								3.3		3.3							3.3		3.1									3.4	3.3
Performance Standards																															
14.Performance Standard 4: Assessment	2.5									2.5		٠.							7.5											2.5	2.5
of and for Student Learning	3.5	3.7	1	1					1	3.5		3.4	l						3.5		3.4	l					l			3.5	3.5
15. Performance Standard 5: Learning Environment	3.5	3.7								3.5		3.5							3.5		3.5									3.4	3.5
Performance Standards																															
16. P-12 Student Growth and Achievement	3.3	3.0								3.2		3.5							3.5		3.2									3.3	3.4
17. Comparison of overall preparedness to other institutions (new for 2019)					T					######									#####												
	_									eters, 7 calcula												_				1	_			1	

#### 4. Satisfaction of Completers (Component 4.4 | A.4.2)

The provider demonstrates, using measures that result in valid and reliable data, that program Completers perceive their preparation as relevant to the responsibilities they confront on the job, and that the preparation was effective.

The Completer Survey assumes that program quality and effectiveness can be measured on what completers have experienced in the year(s) following completion of the TEP. The survey seeks to evaluate the program based on responses to a series of questions. The survey obtains information through completers' opinions by responding to questions with satisfaction levels of Accomplished, Target, Emerging, and Ineffective.

We value the opinion of program completers. The survey prompts completers to evaluate the quality of multiple aspects of their progress in the teaching profession. By gathering data from different perspectives over time, a more complete, valid, and reliable view of our candidates is obtained. For example, completers are surveyed for three years after their completion of the Teacher Education Program. During their coursework and internship, students are taught how to reflect on their own abilities and skills. During the teaching internship, students are required to submit a daily log where they reflect on their own progress and develop plans for self-improvement based on student data. Candidates learn reflection skills throughout the program and when they become completers, we feel their training and experience in self-reflection will produce high quality feedback on the completer survey.

The Completer Survey is sent directly to the school email address for all completers whose employment can be identified. Historically, completer surveys were addressed and mailed to each completers' school address. Completers did not return surveys at a high rate. We are hopeful the employment location of completers provided by the VDOE will strengthen the survey process by sending individual emails to completers.

The Completer Survey was distributed by mail in May of 2018. Beginning in May of 2019, the Completer Survey will be distributed annually using the data management system Watermark Via. Teacher candidates are required to purchase a 7-year subscription to Watermark Via. The 7-year subscription will allow faculty within the TEP to continue to use the data management system for three years after candidates complete the program.

	т —			2015	Ford a	C Dood o					_		2016	(End o	f 2nd :	vear tea	china	1				2017	(End o	f 1st ye	or to	achina	1		3 Year
Accomplished = 4	$\vdash$			com								т.	otal com	•			- 0	,			Tota			s 28, 12					-
Target = 3	$\vdash$		Tota	comp	neters	41, 1	retur	nea si	Irveys		_	110	otal con	pieter	5 42, 1	6 returr	iea su	rveys	⊩	1	Tota	Com	pieter	5 28, 12	retu	nea si	ırvey	5	Average
Emerging = 2	PK-6	н&ре	MUS	SPED	BUS	ENG	MTH	HIS	BIO		PK-	6 H&I	PE MUS	SPED	ENG	MTH	HIS		PK-	H&P	E MUS	SPA	SPED	BUS	ENG	HIS	MTH		
Ineffective = 1	18	9	2	5	2	1	2	1	1	Completers	20	1	3	10	2	3	3	Completer	13	6	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	Completer	
Survey Question	9	3	1	2	1	1		1	_	# RETURNED	11	_	Ť	2	1	1	_	# RETURNED	7	2		Ė	1		1			# RETURNED	
rofessional Competency																					1	1							
1. Content Knowledge	3.8	3.7								3.8	3.0	,						3.5	3.3									3.1	3.5
2. Knowledge of Learner and Learning	3.4	3.7								3.5	3.:							3.1	3.0									3.0	3.3
2. Planning & Instructional Practices	3.6	3.7								3.6	3.5							3.5	3.0									3.0	3.4
Professional Collaborative Activities & Co-Teaching	3.2	3.7								3.2	3.3							3.4	3.0									2.9	3.2
5. Interactions/Collaborations for Diverse Students	3.1	3.7								3.2	3.3							3.3	2.8									2.9	3.1
vidence of Growth																													
6. Reflection of Professional Growth	3.6	3.7								3.4	3.0	,						3.4	3.3									3.2	3.4
7. Impact on Student Learning	3.5	3.7								3.4	3.0	; T						3.4	3.3									3.3	3.4
application of Content																													
8. Knowledge of Academic Standards	3.6									3.5	3.3	,						3.5	3.8									3.4	3.5
9. Application of Content and Pedagogy	3.6	3.3								3.5	3.1	_						3.5	3.3									3.2	3.4
College & Career Ready Standards																													
10. Skill & Commitment	3.1	3.7								3.1	3.3							3.1	3.3									2.9	3.1
11. Student Access	3.3	3.7								3.1	3.:							3.0	3.3									3.1	3.1
Jse of Technology																													
12. Student Engagement & Learning	3.7	4.0								3.5	3.4							3.4	3.8									3.5	3.5
13. Enrichment of Professional Practice	3.6	4.0								3.5	3.6	<u> </u>						3.5	3.5									3.3	3.4
erformance Standards																													
14. Performance Standard 4: Assessment of and for Student Learning	3.6	3.7								3.5	3.7							3.4	3.5									3.1	3.4
15. Performance Standard 5: Learning Environment	3.6	3.7								3.4	3.7							3.5	3.5									3.3	3.4
16. P-12 Student Growth and Achievement	3.1	3.3								3.2	3.4	1						3.3	3.3									3.1	3.2
17. Reflection on overall preparedness (new for 2019)										#VALUE!								#VALUE!										#VALUE!	#VALU

#### 5. Graduation Rates (initial & advanced levels)

Over the past few years, the college as a whole has experienced a decline in enrollment. Enrollment in the UVa-Wise Teacher Education Program has shown similar trends with fewer students applying to the program.

Current UVa-Wise enrollment can be found at the following website.

https://www.uvawise.edu/uva-wise/facts/

Historical enrollment for UVa-Wise can be found at the following website.

https://www.uvawise.edu/uva-wise/administration-services/institutional-research/irstudents/historical-enrollment/

UVa-Wise graduation rates are found at the following website.

https://www.uvawise.edu/home/consumer-information/

Teacher Education Completer enrollment is reflected in the table below.

	UVa-Wise C	ompleters by	y Endorseme	nt Area			
#	Endorsement Area	<u>2014-2015</u>	<u>2015-2016</u>	<u>2016-2017</u>	<u>2017-2018</u>	<u>Totals</u>	<u>%</u>
1	PK-6 - Elementary Education	18	20	13	17	68	44%
2	PK-12 - Foreign Language - Spanish	0	0	1	0	1	1%
3	PK-12 - Foreign Language - French	0	0	0	0	0	0%
4	PK-12 - Health and Physical Education	9	1	6	6	22	14%
5	PK-12 - Library Media	0	0	0	0	0	0%
6	PK-12 - Music - Instrumental & Vocal/Choral	2	3	1	1	7	5%
7	PK-12 - Theatre Arts	0	0	0	1	1	1%
8	K-12 - SpEd-General Curriculum	5	10	2	10	27	18%
9	6-12 - Career & Technical Education - Business and Information Technology	2	0	1	0	3	2%
10	6-12 - English	1	2	1	4	8	5%
11	6-12 - History and Social Science	1	3	1	1	6	4%
12	6-12 - Mathematics	2	3	2	1	8	5%
13	6-12 - Science - Biology	1	0	0	1	2	1%
14	6-12 - Science - Chemistry	0	0	0	0	0	0%
15	6-12 - Science - Earth Science	0	0	0	0	0	0%
16	*Add-on Endorsement - Drivers Education	0	0	0	0	0	0%
17	*Add-on Endorsement - Algebra I	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Totals	41	42	28	42	153	100%

<sup>\*</sup>add-on number not monitored through TEP

# 6. Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements; Title II (initial & advanced levels)

In Virginia, passing scores on all prescribed assessments are required for licensure. The UVa-Wise TEP does not recognize candidates as reaching the completer status until all required courses, degrees, certifications, and assessments are completed. Therefore, the ability of completers to meet licensing requirements is %100.

Title II summary Pass Rates are as follows.

#### **Summary Pass Rates**

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2017-18	43	43	100
All program completers, 2016-17	28	27	96
All program completers, 2015-16	41	40	98

#### **Summary Pass Rates**

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2015-16	41	40	98
All program completers, 2014-15	40	39	98
All program completers, 2013-14	52	52	100

# 7. Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared (initial & advanced levels).

All UVa-Wise completers have met Virginia Department of Education licensure requirements for the Collegiate Professional Teaching License.

The table below reflects the number of completers who qualify for full unrestricted employment in their initial endorsement area.

<b>UVa-Wise Completers Eligible For Unrestricted Employment in Initial</b>
Endorsement Area

#	Endorsement Area	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	Totals	Eligible for Employment
1	PK-6 - Elementary Education	18	20	13	17	68	100%
2	PK-12 - Foreign Language - Spanish	0	0	1	0	1	100%
3	PK-12 - Foreign Language - French	0	0	0	0	0	
4	PK-12 - Health and Physical Education	9	1	6	6	22	100%
5	PK-12 - Library Media	0	0	0	0	0	
6	PK-12 - Music - Instrumental & Vocal/Choral	2	3	1	1	7	100%
7	PK-12 - Theatre Arts	0	0	0	1	1	
8	K-12 - SpEd-General Curriculum	5	10	2	10	27	100%
9	6-12 - Career & Technical Education - Business and Information Technology	2	0	1	0	3	100%
10	6-12 - English	1	2	1	4	8	100%
11	6-12 - History and Social Science	1	3	1	1	6	100%
12	6-12 - Mathematics	2	3	2	1	8	100%
13	6-12 - Science - Biology	1	0	0	1	2	100%
14	6-12 - Science - Chemistry	0	0	0	0	0	
15	6-12 - Science - Earth Science	0	0	0	0	0	
16	*Add-on Endorsement - Drivers Education	0	0	0	0	0	
17	*Add-on Endorsement - Algebra I	0	0	0	0	0	
	Totals	41	42	28	42	153	100%
	*number not monitored(nm) through TEP						

## 8. Student loan default rates and other consumer information (initial & advanced levels)

#### **UVa-Wise Student Loan Default Rates**

#### https://www2.ed.gov/offices/OSFAP/defaultmanagement/cdr.html

A cohort default rate is the percentage of a school's borrowers who enter repayment on certain Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program or William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan (Direct Loan) Program loans during a particular federal fiscal year (FY), October 1 to September 30, and default or meet other specified conditions prior to the end of the second following fiscal year.

The U.S. Department of Education releases official cohort default rates once per year. The FY 2015 official cohort default rates were delivered to both domestic and foreign schools on September 24, 2018, electronically via the <a href="eccDR process">eCDR process</a>. All schools must enroll in eCDR to receive cohort default rate notification. Schools may <a href="eccDR enrollment online">check their eCDR enrollment online</a> or by calling CPS/SAIG Technical Support at 800-330-5947.

Secretary DeVos announced that the FY 2015 national cohort default rate is 10.8 percent. The Department also released a summary of the  $\underline{FY 2015}$  official cohort default rates by state and by institution type.

Schools may also obtain an electronic loan record detail report via the <u>National Student Loan</u> <u>Data System (NSLDS) Professional Access website</u>. A loan record detail report contains the data used to calculate a school's FY 2015 official cohort default rate. Assistance in accessing the NSLDS site or with downloading an electronic loan record detail report is available through NSLDS Customer Service at 1-800-999-8219.

According to the information provided by the U.S. Department of Education, the most recent UVa-Wise student loan default data is reflected below.





### School Default Rates FY 2015, 2014, and 2013

#### Record 1 of 1

OPE ID	School	Туре	Control	PRGMS		FY2015	FY2014	FY2013
					Default Rate	10.2	11.7	11.6
	UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA'S				No. in Default	49	51	45
003747	COLLEGE AT WISE (THE)	Bachelor's	Public	Both	No. in Repay	478	433	387
	1 COLLEGE AVENUE WISE VA 24293-4412	Degree		(FFEL/FDL)	Enrollment figures	3,552	3,229	2,869
					Percentage Calculation	13.4	13.4	13.4

ENROLLMENT: To provide context for the Cohort Default Rate (CDR) data we include enrollment data (students enrolled at any time during the year) and a corresponding percentage (borrowers entering repayment divided by that enrollment figure). While there is no direct relationship between the timing of when a borrower entered repayment (October 1 through September 30) and any particular enrollment year, for the purpose of these data, we have chosen to use the academic year ending on the June 30 prior to the beginning of the cohort year (e.g., FY 2015 CDR Year will use 2013-2014 enrollment).

Current Date: 04/26/2019

#### **UVa-Wise Consumer Information**

UVa-Wise Consumer Information is available at the following website.

https://www.uvawise.edu/home/consumer-information/

#### **Undergraduate Tuition**

In 2014, U.S. News ranked The University of Virginia's College at Wise 4th among the nation's liberal arts colleges for least debt incurred by the graduating class of 2013. Two of the three institutions ranked higher included the United States Air Force Academy in Colorado and the United States Military Academy (West Point) in New York.

#### **Undergraduate Total Fees**

Total Fees include charges for Technology, Classroom Renewal, Academic Credentialing, Arts, Athletics, Student Health, and Student Programming, and are comparable to similar fees charged by other public institutions in the Commonwealth.

Academic Year	In- State	% Change	Out-of- State	% Change
2009-10	\$3,586		\$15,714	
2010-11	\$3,910	9.0%	\$16,450	4.7%
2011-12	\$4,242	8.5%	\$17,325	5.3%
2012-13	\$4,454	5.0%	\$18,190	5.0%
2013-14	\$4,676	5.0%	\$19,100	5.0%
2014-15	\$4,862	4.0%	\$19,864	4.0%
2015-16	\$5,056	4.0%	\$20,658	4.0%
2016-17	\$5,210	3.0%	\$21,288	3.0%
2017-18	\$5,366	3.0%	\$21,926	3.0%
2018-19	\$5,527	3.0%	\$22,584	3.0%

Academic Year	In- State	% Change	Out-of- State	% Change
2009-10	\$3,162		\$3,562	
2010-11	\$3,284	3.9%	\$3,816	20.7%
2011-12	\$3,478	5.9%	\$4,011	5.1%
2012-13	\$3,653	5.0%	\$4,285	6.8%
2013-14	\$3,833	4.9%	\$4,465	4.2%
2014-15	\$4,006	4.5%	\$4,638	3.9%
2015-16	\$4,164	3.9%	\$4,796	3.4%
2016-17	\$4,327	3.9%	\$4,961	3.4%
2017-18	\$4,459	3.1%	\$5,129	3.4%
2018-19	\$4,592	3.0%	\$5,262	2.6%

### Financial Aid by Type

	201	4 – 15	201	15 – 16	2016 – 17		
	Students	Total \$s	Students	Total \$s	Students	Total \$s	
Grants	1,180	\$9,040,193	1,171	\$7,943,271	1,188	\$8,051,781	
Scholarships	856	\$3,967,268	862	\$4,312,613	878	\$4,168,596	
Loans	925	6,034,059	898	\$6,324,806	905	\$6,336,783	
Work-study Programs	189	\$261,745	157	\$208,197	152	\$216,770	
All Other Aid	236	\$594,382	237	\$686,804	181	\$521,515	
Totals	3,386	\$19,897,647	3,325	\$19,475,691	3,304	\$19,295,445	

#### **6-Year Graduate Rates**

Entering Class	Cohort	Grads	All 1st-Year Students
Fall 1999	296	127	42.9%
Fall 2000	277	126	45.5%
Fall 2001	323	134	41.5%
Fall 2002	345	163	47.2%
Fall 2003	348	160	46.0%
Fall 2004	373	178	47.7%
Fall 2005	366	142	38.8%
Fall 2006	400	173	43.3%
Fall 2007	399	171	42.9%
Fall 2008	425	181	42.6%
Fall 2009	397	167	42.1%
Fall 2010	398	161	40.5%
10 Year Avg.	3774	1630	43.2%

Source: SCHEV, GRS04b Cohort Graduation Rates

#### **1-Year Retention Rates**

Entering Class	Cohort	Retained	First-time, Full-time Retention Rate
Fall 2003	348	259	74.4%
Fall 2004	373	269	72.1%
Fall 2005	366	226	61.7%
Fall 2006	400	280	70.0%
Fall 2007	400	261	65.3%
Fall 2008	425	288	67.8%
Fall 2009	397	278	70.0%
Fall 2010	399	251	62.9%
Fall 2011	356	259	72.8%
Fall 2012	371	271	73.0%
Fall 2013	332	224	67.5%
Fall 2014	304	219	72.0%
Fall 2015	291	182	62.5%
Fall 2016	330	216	65.5%
10-Yr. Avg.			67.9%

Source: SCHEV, RT01 Retention Report

#### Indebtedness of Degree Recipients

The average debt incurred by the College's graduating class of 2012-13 was \$12,772, significantly less than the nation's public, 4-year average of \$25,043 and the nation's private nonprofit, 4-year average or higher of \$29,708. According to *The Institute for College Access and Success*, the College ranked 1<sup>st</sup> for least average debt incurred by the graduating class of 2012-13 when compared to the other public institutions of higher education<sup>1</sup> in the Commonwealth of Virginia. In addition, the 5-year increase in the average debt was significantly smaller when compared to the other Virginia publics, increasing by 4.3% since 2008-09. With the exception of one other institution, increases were double-digit, ranging from 22.0% to 61.4%.

Graduating Class	Ranking Among National Liberal Arts Colleges	% of Graduates with Debt	Average Amount of Debt
2010-11	1	50%	\$10,180
2011-12	2	56%	\$11,524
2012-13	4*	62%	\$12,772

<sup>\*</sup> Norfolk State University failed to provide data for 2012-13 and thus, were excluded.

<sup>\*</sup> Two of the three institutions ranked higher included the United States Air Force Academy in Colorado and the United States Military Academy (West Point) in New York.

### State Education & General Appropriations

The data below are reported in 2015 constant dollars.

Academic Year	\$ Millions	% Change
2004-05	\$13.60	10.2%
2005-06	\$15.36	13.0%
2006-07	\$18.89	23.0%
2007-08	\$21.15	12.0%
2008-09	\$19.14	-9.5%
2009-10	\$17.63	-7.9%
2010-11	\$17.12	-2.9%
2011-12	\$18.89	10.3%
2012-13	\$19.26	2.0%
2013-14	\$20.14	4.6%
2014-15	\$20.77	3.1%

### State Education & General Appropriations per Annual FTE

The data below are reported in 2015 constant dollars.

Academic Year	\$ per FTE	% Change
2004-05	\$8,818	2.7%
2005-06	\$9,948	12.8%
2006-07	\$11,818	18.8%
2007-08	\$13,681	15.8%
2008-09	\$12,166	-11.1%
2009-10	\$11,408	-6.2%
2010-11	\$10,235	-10.3%
2011-12	\$11,418	11.6%
2012-13	\$11,701	-3.0%
2013-14	\$11,794	6.5%
2014-15*	\$13,342	13.1%

<sup>\*</sup>Estimate